

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/655,595	09/06/2000	William F. Beausoleil	POU9-2000-0045-US1	9321
34313	7590 11/18/2005		EXAM	INER
	ERRINGTON & SUTC TION DEPARTMENT	STEVENS, 1	STEVENS, THOMAS H	
4 PARK PLA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1600			2123	
IRVINE, CA 92614-2558			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/655,595	BEAUSOLEIL ET	AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas H. Stevens	2123				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMU .136(a). In no event, however, may d will apply and will expire SIX (6) No tte, cause the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this of a ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	January 2005.					
·	·					
· —	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application	l .					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	ccepted or b) dobjected	to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the draw	ing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attac	hed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 	nts have been received.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies r	not received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Art Unit: 2123

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-5 were examined.

Section I: Non-Final Rejection (4th Office Action)

Specification

2. Pages 1 and 5, line 8 is missing application serial numbers. Correction is advises.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 2123

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as obvious by Donath ("Placement and Average Interconnection Lengths of Computer Logic" (1979) (hereafter, Donath) in view of Butts et al., (US Patent 5,452,231 (1995)) (hereafter Butts). Donath teaches a process to find the amount of space required for the interconnection governed by the total length of the wire to accommodate the spacing between wires (pg. 272, Introduction, left column) for pc boards, logic chips, cards, etc (pg. 272, Introduction, left column) but fails to teach simulation/emulation between pluralities of test circuit boards. Butts teaches interconnection of a hardware emulation with a circuit design in response to the input circuit information with at least two electronically reconfigurable logic assemblies (columns 86-87, lines 66-68 and 1-4, respectively); but doesn't teach wire length.

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to integrate the two pieces of art to double the capacity to which doubling the number of transistors per chip (Butts: column 3, lines 40-45) to provide better upper

Art Unit: 2123

bound for significantly lower interconnection length between logic devices (Donath: abstract).

Per claims 1 and 5: emulation hardware logic with two printed circuit boards (Butts: columns 86-87, lines 66-68 and 1-4, respectively); interconnected multi-conductor cable (Donath: title; Butts: column 4, lines 35-40); determining cable length (Donath: pg. 272, right column 2nd paragraph); denote cable length (track requirements and results for placement of lengths: Donath: pg. 272, right column last paragraph to pg. 273, left column 1st paragraph); inputting a test pattern to the cable (Butts: column 21, lines 33-35); test pattern comprised of binary data (Butts: column 42, lines 25-32); collecting an output data pattern (Butts: columns 41-42, Configuration Section 1.4); compiling (Butts: column 75, line 40) emulation program to account for each pair of conductors (Butts: column 22, lines 37-39 with Donath: pg.273, left column, 2nd paragraph, lines 6-9), the emulation program corresponding to a logic design for an integrated circuit(columns 86-87, lines 66-68 and 1-4, respectively).

Per claim 2: test pattern is binary of alternating "1s" and "0s". (Butts: column 42, lines 25-32).

Per claims 3 and 4: where one cable length is denoted by having not interchanged pair of conductors (specification pg. 9, lines 6-7 states "swapping different pairs denote different lengths", thus different length is unique by way of design preference to which Donath states: Donath, Introduction, pg. 272).

Art Unit: 2123

Citation of Relevant Art

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

- IBM. "Machine Organization and Rent's Rule" 1999.: teaches a method on interconnection wire length.
- US Patent 5,963,735A Sample et al.: teaches a system of physical emulation involving utilization of unused circuit paths in the logic gates.

Section II: Response to Arguments (2nd Office Action) 132/103 Rejections

7. Applicants are thanked for addressing this issue. Applicant's arguments, with respect to the previous office action, rejections to claims 1-5 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground of rejections is made, for one, in view of Butts et al. and Donath.

Correspondence Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mr. Tom Stevens whose telephone number is 571-272-3715, Monday-Friday (8:00 am- 4:30 pm EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, please contact examiner's supervisor Mr. Leo Picard ((571) 272-3749). The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2123

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.. Answers to questions regarding access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) (toll-free (866-217-9197)).

October 27, 2005

TS

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2125